

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC.,

No. C 11-06638 RS

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER RE PAGE LIMITATIONS
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTIONS**

TIBCO SOFTWARE, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Local Rule 7-2(b) states that motions must be made in the form of *one* filed document not exceeding 25 pages in length. Currently, in this case, VSI has filed *two* documents moving for summary judgment totaling 25 pages in length and TIBCO has filed *three* documents moving for summary judgment totaling 75 pages in length (one of which is 29 pages, leave having been granted in advance to exceed the 25 page limit). In addition, the parties have filed a total of four motions to strike or exclude evidence from each other's experts, totaling 84 pages. All nine of these motions are scheduled to be heard on August 29, 2013.

In order to avoid excessive briefing and facilitate the crystallization of the issues presented by the parties in these five cross-motions for summary judgment, the following page limits are adopted:

VSI Motions	Opposition Pages Allowed	Reply Pages Allowed
Summary Judgment of No Inequitable Conduct, Dkt. 150	16	10
Summary Judgment on TIBCO's Laches Defense, Dkt. 158	9	5
Page Totals	25	15

TIBCO Motions	Opposition Pages Allowed	Reply Pages Allowed
Summary Judgment of Invalidity of '864 Patent for Lack of Written Description and Enablement Regarding Disparate Databases, Dkt. 155	21	13
Summary Judgment of Invalidity of '864 Patent Due to Obviousness, Dkt. 160	25	15
Summary Judgment of Noninfringement of Patent '864	25	15
Page Totals	71	43

The parties are encouraged to file responsive briefs of lesser length than permitted above. The parties' oppositions to the motions to exclude or strike expert evidence are limited to fifteen pages each. Replies on these motions, if any, shall be no longer than eight pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/26/13



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE